

# Embedded asymptotic classes

Gwyneth Harrison-Shermoen

University of Leeds

Model Theory of Finite and Pseudofinite Structures Workshop

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 Embedded asymptotic classes
- 4 A useful lemma
- 5 References

# Introduction

- An asymptotic class is a class of finite structures such that the sizes of the definable sets are uniformly “controlled” (as a proportion of the size of the ambient structure).
- I’ll be talking about one of several variations of the notion of a 1-dimensional asymptotic class - that of an *embedded asymptotic class*.
- This is a work in progress, joint with Dugald Macpherson.

## The motivating theorem

### Theorem (Chatzidakis, van den Dries, Macintyre)

Let  $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_m)$  be a formula in the language of rings.

- (i) There is a positive constant  $C$  and a finite set  $D$  of pairs  $(d, \mu)$  with  $d \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}^{>0}$  such that for each finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , where  $q$  is a prime power, and each  $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$ , if the set  $\varphi(\mathbb{F}_q^n, \bar{a})$  is nonempty, then for some  $(d, \mu) \in D$

$$\left| \left| \varphi(\mathbb{F}_q^n, \bar{a}) \right| - \mu q^d \right| < Cq^{d-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

- (ii) For every  $(d, \mu) \in D$ , there is a formula  $\psi_{d,\mu}(y_1, \dots, y_m)$  in the language of rings such that for each  $q$ ,  $\psi_{d,\mu}(\mathbb{F}_q^m)$  consists of those  $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$  for which the corresponding inequality holds.

# 1-dimensional asymptotic classes

## Definition (MacPherson and Steinhorn)

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a first-order language, and  $\mathcal{C}$  a collection of finite  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures.  $\mathcal{C}$  is a *1-dimensional asymptotic class* if for every  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) := \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_m)$ :

- (i) There is a positive constant  $C$  and a finite set  $D$  of pairs  $(d, \mu)$  with  $d \in \{0, \dots, n\}$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ , such that for every  $M \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\bar{a} \in M^m$ , if  $\varphi(M^n, \bar{a})$  is non-empty, then for some  $(d, \mu) \in D$  we have

$$\left| |\varphi(M^n, \bar{a})| - \mu |M|^d \right| \leq C |M|^{d - \frac{1}{2}}.$$

- (ii) For every  $(d, \mu) \in D$ , there is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\varphi_{d, \mu}(\bar{y})$  such that for all  $M \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\varphi_{d, \mu}(M^m)$  consists of those  $\bar{a} \in M^m$  for which the corresponding inequality holds.

## Some notation

- Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a first order language, and  $\mathcal{C}$  a collection of finite  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures.
- $(\mathcal{C}, \bar{y}) := \{(M, \bar{a}) \mid M \in \mathcal{C}, \bar{a} \in \bar{y} M\}$ .
- A partition  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $(\mathcal{C}, \bar{y})$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable if for each  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  there exists an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\varphi_S(\bar{y})$  without parameters such that

$$\varphi_S(M) = \{\bar{b} \in M^{|\bar{y}|} \mid (M, \bar{b}) \in S\}$$

for each  $M \in \mathcal{C}$ .

## Multidimensional asymptotic classes

### Definition (Ascombe, MacPherson, Steinhorn, Wolf)

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of finite  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures, and  $R$  any set of functions  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ .  $\mathcal{C}$  is an  $R$ -*multidimensional asymptotic class* ( $R$ -MAC) if for every formula  $\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  there is a finite  $\emptyset$ -definable partition  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $(\mathcal{C}, \bar{y})$  and an indexed set  $H_{\mathcal{S}} := \{h_S \in R \mid S \in \mathcal{S}\}$  such that

$$\left| \left| \varphi(M^{\bar{x}}; \bar{b}) \right| - h_S(M) \right| = o(h_S(M))$$

for  $(M, \bar{b}) \in S$  as  $|M| \rightarrow \infty$ . The functions  $h_S$  are called the *measuring functions* of  $\varphi(\bar{x}; \bar{y})$ . When  $R$  is understood, we just say that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a *MAC*.

## Some more notation

- Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a first-order language with signature  $\sigma$ ,  $P$  a predicate not in  $\sigma$ , and  $\mathcal{L}^+$  the language with signature  $\sigma \cup \{P\}$ .
- If  $M$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure and  $A$  is a (finite) substructure of  $M$ , we may view  $(M, A)$  as an  $\mathcal{L}^+$ -structure (i.e. with  $P^M = A$ ).
- If  $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)$  is an  $\mathcal{L}^+$  formula and  $\bar{a} \in M^m$ , we denote by  $\varphi^M(A^n, \bar{a})$  the set

$$\{\bar{b} \in A^n : (M, A) \models \varphi(\bar{b}, \bar{a})\} = \{\bar{b} : (M, A) \models P(\bar{b}) \wedge \varphi(\bar{b}, \bar{a})\}.$$



## Definable partitions, renewed

- If  $M$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure and  $\mathcal{C}_0$  is a class of finite substructures  $A$  of  $M$ , let

$$\mathcal{C} := \{(M, A) : A \in \mathcal{C}_0\}$$

be the corresponding class of  $\mathcal{L}^+$ -structures.

- As above, for any tuple  $\bar{y}$  we define

$$(\mathcal{C}, \bar{y}) := \{(M, A, \bar{a}) : (M, A) \in \mathcal{C}, \bar{a} \in M^{|\bar{y}|}\}.$$

- We say that a partition  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $(\mathcal{C}, \bar{y})$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable if for each  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  there is an  $\mathcal{L}^+$ -formula  $\varphi_S(\bar{y})$  (with no parameters) such that

$$\varphi_S(M^{|\bar{y}|}) = \{\bar{b} \in M^{|\bar{y}|} : (M, A, \bar{b}) \in S\},$$

for each  $(M, A) \in \mathcal{C}$ .

# Embedded MACs

## Definition

Let  $M$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure, and  $\mathcal{C}_0$  a collection of finite substructures  $A$  of  $M$ . View each  $(M, A)$  as an  $\mathcal{L}^+$ -structure, and let  $\mathcal{C} = \{(M, A) : A \in \mathcal{C}_0\}$ . Let  $R$  be any set of functions  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ .  $\mathcal{C}$  is an *embedded  $R$ -MAC* if for every  $\mathcal{L}^+$ -formula  $\varphi(\bar{x}; \bar{y})$  there is a finite  $\emptyset$ -definable partition  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $(\mathcal{C}, \bar{y})$  and an indexed set  $H_{\mathcal{S}} := \{h_S \in R : S \in \mathcal{S}\}$  such that

$$\left| \left| \varphi^M(A^{\bar{x}}; \bar{b}) \right| - h_S(A) \right| = o(h_S(A))$$

for  $(M, A, \bar{b}) \in S$  as  $|A| \rightarrow \infty$ .

## Remark

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_0$  be as in the previous definition. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is an embedded  $R$ -MAC, then  $\mathcal{C}_0$  is at least a weak  $R$ -MAC, meaning that the partition  $\mathcal{S}$  exists but may not be  $\emptyset$ -definable. More assumptions are needed to guarantee that  $\mathcal{C}_0$  is, in fact, an  $R$ -MAC.

## Full embeddedness

Let  $N$  be a  $\emptyset$ -definable  $\mathcal{L}$ -substructure of  $M$ , an  $\mathcal{L}^+$ -substructure ( $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L}^+$ ).

- $N$  is *stably embedded* in  $M$  if for every  $\mathcal{L}^+$ -formula  $\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  there are finitely many  $\mathcal{L}^+$ -formulae  $\psi_1(\bar{x}, \bar{z}), \dots, \psi_k(\bar{x}, \bar{z})$  such that for any  $\bar{a} \in M^{|\bar{y}|}$  there are  $i$  and  $\bar{b} \in N^{|\bar{z}|}$  for which

$$M \models \forall \bar{x} \in N (\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{a}) \leftrightarrow \psi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{b})).$$

- $N$  is *canonically embedded* in  $M$  if for every  $\mathcal{L}^+$ -formula  $\varphi(\bar{x})$  there is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\psi(\bar{x})$  such that for all  $\bar{a} \in N^{|\bar{x}|}$ ,

$$M \models \varphi(\bar{a}) \leftrightarrow N \models \psi(\bar{a}).$$

- $N$  is *fully embedded* in  $M$  if it is both stably and canonically embedded in  $M$ .

## “Transfer” lemma

### Lemma

Let  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $P$ , and  $\mathcal{L}^+$  be as in the definition of an embedded R-MAC. Given an R-MAC  $\mathcal{C}_0$  consisting of finite substructures of some  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $M$ , consider the class of  $\mathcal{L}^+$ -structures  $\mathcal{C} := \{(M, A) : A \in \mathcal{C}_0\}$ . If in every ultraproduct  $(M^*, P(M^*))$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $P(M^*)$  is fully embedded in  $M^*$ , then  $\mathcal{C}$  is an embedded R-MAC.

## Sketch of proof

- Fix  $\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \mathcal{L}^+$ .
- Find finitely many  $\mathcal{L}^+$ -formulae  $\psi_1(\bar{x}, \bar{z}), \dots, \psi_k(\bar{x}, \bar{z})$  such that for each  $P(M) \in \mathcal{C}_0$ ,

$$(M, P(M)) \models \forall \bar{y} \exists \bar{z} \in P \left( \bigvee_i \forall \bar{x} \in P (\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \leftrightarrow \psi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{z})) \right).$$

- For each  $i$ , find finitely many  $\mathcal{L}$ -formulae  $\rho_{i,j}(\bar{x}, \bar{z})$  such that for each  $P(M) \in \mathcal{C}_0$ ,

$$(M, P(M)) \models \bigvee_j \forall \bar{x}, \bar{z} \in P (\psi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{z}) \leftrightarrow \rho_{i,j}^P(\bar{x}, \bar{z})).$$

## Sketch of proof, continued

- Using coding, obtain an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\eta(\bar{x}; \bar{z}, \bar{w})$  such that for all  $P(M) \in \mathcal{C}_0$  and  $\bar{a} \in M^{|\bar{y}|}$  there are  $\bar{b}\bar{c} \in P(M)^{|\bar{z}|+|\bar{w}|}$  such that

$$\varphi^M(P(M), \bar{a}) = \eta(P(M), \bar{b}, \bar{c}).$$

- The above allows us to transfer the partition for  $\mathcal{C}_0$  to one for  $\mathcal{C}$ . Writing down the defining formulae given those for the original partition is not difficult.

## An example

The class  $\mathcal{C} = \{(\tilde{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathbb{F}_{p^n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an embedded 1-dimensional asymptotic class.





- The class  $\mathcal{C}_0 = \{\mathbb{F}_{p^n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a 1-dimensional embedded asymptotic class.
- Let  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{rings}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}^+ = \mathcal{L} \cup \{P\}$ , and  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}^+ \cup \{\sigma\}$  (where  $\sigma$  is a unary function).
- Consider the following “expansion” of  $\mathcal{C}$ :

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = \left\{ \left( \tilde{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathbb{F}_{p^n}, x \mapsto x^{p^n} \right) : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

- By work of Hrushovski, any ultraproduct  $(M^*, P(M^*), \sigma)$  of  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  is a model of  $ACFA_p$ . By work of Chatzidakis and Hrushovski,  $P(M^*)$  is fully embedded in  $M^*$ . This is sufficient to apply the lemma.



## References

-  S. Ancombe, H.D. Macpherson, C. Steinhorn, and D. Wolf, *Multidimensional asymptotic classes and generalised measurable structures*. In preparation (2016).
-  Z. Chatzidakis, L. van den Dries, and A. Macintyre, *Definable sets over finite fields*, Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik, 427, (1992) 107-135.
-  R. Elwes, *Asymptotic classes of finite structures*, Journal of Symbolic Logic, 72(2), (2007) 418-438.
-  H.D. Macpherson and C. Steinhorn, *One-dimensional asymptotic classes of finite structures*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 360(1), (2008) 411-448.